

REMARKS

Applicant has amended claims 15 and 16 to delete duplicated "the" on line 1 in each of those claims.

The examiner indicated Allowable Subject Matter of Claims 1-26 being allowed over the prior art of record.

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-33 were considered and the examiner furnished new grounds of rejection. The examiner rejected claims 27-33 under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, because the claims recited "Single means".

The examiner argued further:

See *In re Hyatt*, 708 F.2d 712, 714-715, 218 USPQ 195, 197 (Fed. Cir. 1983) (A single means claim which covered every conceivable means for achieving the stated purpose was held nonenabling for the scope of the claim because the specification disclosed at most only those means known to the inventor.). When claims depend on a recited property, a fact situation comparable to *Hyatt* is possible, where the claim covers every conceivable structure (means) for achieving the stated property (result) while the specification discloses at most only those known to the inventor. In this case, the claims recite "a display" is considered as a single means.

Applicant disagrees. However in order to advance prosecution and to allow the subject matter of this case to issue into a patent, Applicant has canceled claims 27-33 but reserves its right to file a continuation application on the canceled subject matter.

According, the case is in condition for allowance since the amendment is directed to matters of form requiring no further consideration or search by the examiner.

No fee is due. Please apply any other charges or credits to deposit account 06-1050.

Respectfully submitted,

Date: 10/24/07

Denis G. Maloney
Reg. No. 29,670

Fish & Richardson P.C.
225 Franklin Street
Boston, MA 02110
Telephone: (617) 542-5070
Facsimile: (617) 542-8906
21767098.doc